BEFORE THE ARIZONA BOARD OF

OSTEOPATHIC EXAMINERS IN MEDICINE AND SURGERY

IN THE MATTER OF:)	Case No. 3044
)	
HAROLD REEDER, D.O.)	FINDINGS OF FACT,
Holder of License No. 2001 for the)	CONCLUSIONS OF LAW
practice of osteopathic medicine)	AND ORDER FOR PROBATION
in the State of Arizona.)	,
)	

INTRODUCTION

This matter came before the Board of Osteopathic Examiners in Medicine and Surgery (hereafter "Board") for final consideration and decision at the Board's public meeting held on September 13, 2003. Pursuant to its statutory authority at A.R.S. § 32-1855(E), the Board held an Investigative Hearing on September 13, 2003. During the course of these proceedings, Harold Reeder, D.O. (hereafter "Respondent") was present, and was not represented by legal counsel. Based upon the testimony and documentary evidence submitted to the Board, the Board issued the following Findings of Fact, Conclusions of Law and Order.

FINDINGS OF FACT

- 1. The Board is empowered, pursuant to A.R.S. § 32-1800, et seq to regulate the licensing and practice of osteopathic medicine in the State of Arizona.
- 2. Respondent is a licensee of the Board and the holder of License No. 2001 for the practice of osteopathic medicine in the State of Arizona.
 - 3. Between February 2000 and January 2001, Respondent treated patient D.C.
 - 4. On or about February 2, 2000, Respondent began treating patient D.C. with

testosterone, without first conducting a complete history and physical examination, and without first having baseline laboratory studies conducted.

5. Respondent failed to maintain adequate records of his examinations, diagnosis, or plan of care for patient D.C.

CONCLUSION OF LAW

The conduct in paragraph 4 and 5 above constitutes unprofessional conduct as defined at A.R.S. § 32-1854 (6) "Engaging in the practice of medicine in a manner that harms or may harm a patient or that the Board determines falls below the community standard".

ORDER

Pursuant to the authority vested in the Board, IT IS HEREBY ORDERED THAT:

- 1. License Number 2001, issued to Harold Reeder, D.O. ("Respondent") is placed on **PROBATION** for 12 months, during which time Respondent shall comply with the terms and conditions of probation as set forth herein:
- 2. From the date of this Order, Respondent shall participate in at least 50 hours of medical education courses in diagnosis and record keeping. The course or courses are to be approved by the Board, and shall cover, among other topics, the SOAP method of record keeping.
- 3. Respondent shall undergo random chart reviews by the Board's staff or designee. The chart reviews will include, but not be limited to, review of adequate history and physical examinations, appropriate laboratory studies, and appropriate diagnostic judgment, and use of the SOAP format.
- 4. In the event Respondent moves and ceases to practice medicine in Arizona, he shall give written notice to the Board of his new residence address within twenty (20) days of moving; and

the terms and duration of probation may be stayed by the Board until Respondent returns to practice medicine in Arizona.

- 5. Respondent shall continue to meet all licensing requirements such as continuing medical education and renewal requirements including applicable fees pursuant to A.R.S. § 32-1825.
- 6. Respondent's failure to comply with the requirements of this Order shall constitute unprofessional conduct as defined at A.R.S. § 32-1854(26), as amended, and may be considered as grounds for further disciplinary action in the event that Respondent fails to comply with any of the requirements of this Order.

ISSUED this 1st day of October 2003.

ARIZONA BOARD OF OSTEOPATHIC EXAMINERS IN MEDICINE AND SURGERY

By:

Elaine LeTarte, Executive Director

9535 E. Doubletree Ranch Road

Scottsdale AZ 85258

(480) 657-7703

Notice of Right to Request a Rehearing:

The Respondent has the right to request a rehearing or review of this matter pursuant to A.R.S. § 41-1092.90. The request for rehearing or review must be filed with the Arizona Board of Osteopathic Examiners in Medicine and Surgery within thirty (30) days. Respondent must specify in detail and with particularity at least one of the seven grounds that apply to the request for rehearing or review, as mandated by A.A.C. R4-22-106(C). A request for rehearing or review shall be a pre-requisite prior to seeking judicial review pursuant to A.R.S. § 41-1092.09.

Served by personal service or sending U.S. mail this day of, 2003 to:
Harold Reeder, D.O. 3933 E. Edna Ave., Ste 101 Phoenix AZ 85032
Copy mailed/delivered to:
Blair Driggs Assistant Attorney General Office of the Attorney General CIV/LES Division 1275 W. Washington Phoenix AZ 85007
By: